

Audit & Governance Committee

11 April 2018

Report of the Head of Internal Audit

Internal Audit Follow Up Report

Summary

1. This is the regular six monthly report to the committee setting out progress made by council departments in implementing actions agreed as part of internal audit work.

Background

- 2. Where weaknesses in systems are found by internal audit, the auditors discuss and agree a set of actions to address the problem with the responsible manager. The agreed actions include target dates for issues to be dealt with. The auditors then carry out follow up work to check that the issue has been resolved once these target dates are reached. The follow up work is carried out through a combination of questionnaires completed by responsible managers, risk assessment, and by further detailed review by the auditors where necessary. Where managers have not taken the action they agreed to, issues are escalated to more senior managers, and ultimately may be referred to the Audit and Governance Committee.
- 3. A summary of the findings from follow up work is presented to this committee twice a year. The current report covers agreed actions with target dates up to 28 Feb 2018.

Consultation

4. Details of the findings of follow up work are discussed with the relevant service managers and chief officers.

Follow up of internal audit agreed actions

5. A total of 98 actions have been followed up since the last report to this committee in September 2017. A summary of the priority of these actions is included in figure 1, below.

Figure 1: actions	followed up a	as part of the	current review
90.0 0.00.00		0.0 pon. c 0. c	

Priority of actions*	Number of actions followed up
1	2
2	42
3	54
Total	98

The priorities run from 1 (high risk issue) to 3 (lower risk)

6. Figure 2 below provides an analysis of the actions which have been followed up, by directorate.

Figure 2: actions followed up by directorate

Priority of actions							
	CCS	EP	CEC	HHASC			
1 (High)	0	2	0	0			
2 (Medium)	25	14	1	2			
3 (Low)	15	7	27	5			
Total	40	23	28	7			

- 7. Of the 98 agreed actions 66 (67.3%) had been satisfactorily implemented and 20 (20.4%) were either redundant or superseded by a new action¹. The redundant actions all apply to previously maintained schools which are now, or soon to be, academies.
- 8. In a further 12 cases (12.2%) the action had not been implemented by the target date, but a revised date was agreed. This is done where the delay in addressing an issue will not lead to unacceptable exposure to risk and where, for example, the delays are unavoidable (e.g. due to unexpected difficulties or where actions are dependent on new systems being implemented). These actions will be followed up after the revised target date and if necessary they will be raised with senior managers in accordance with the escalation procedure. Figure 3 below shows the priority of these actions.

¹ For example because of other changes to procedures or because the service has ended or changed significantly.

9. Seven Priority 2 actions had an implementation date revised by more than six months during the period. Further details are included in annex 1.

Figure 3: priorities of actions with revised implementation dates

Priority of actions							
	CCS	EP	CEC	HHASC			
1 (High)	0	0	0	0			
2 (Medium)	0	6	0	1			
3 (Low)	0	1	1	3			
Total	0	7	1	4			

Conclusions

10. The follow up testing undertaken confirms that in general good progress has been made by council departments to rectify weaknesses in control identified through internal audit work. This is an ongoing process and progress in implementing agreed actions will continue to be monitored and reported as required through the escalation procedure. There are no specific issues that need to be brought to the attention of the Audit and Governance Committee at this time.

Options

11. Not relevant for the purpose of the report.

Analysis

12. Not relevant for the purpose of the report.

Corporate Priorities

13. This report contributes to the council's overall aims and priorities by helping to ensure probity, integrity and honesty in everything we do. It also contributes to all the improving organisation effectiveness priorities.

Implications

- 14. There are no implications to this report in relation to:
 - Finance
 - Human Resources (HR)
 - Equalities
 - Legal
 - Crime and Disorder
 - Information Technology (IT)
 - Property

Risk Management

15. The council will fail to properly comply with Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) if it does not establish procedures to follow up on audit recommendations and report progress to the appropriate officers and members.

Recommendations

16. Members of the Audit and Governance Committee are asked to consider the progress made in implementing internal audit agreed actions as reported above (paragraphs 5 – 9).

Reason: To enable Members to fulfil their role in providing

independent assurance on the council's control

environment.

Contact Details

Author: Chief Officer Responsible for the

report:

Max Thomas Ian Floyd

Head of Internal Audit Director of Customer and Business

Veritau Ltd Support Services

Telephone: 01904 552940 Telephone: 01904 551100

Report Approved $\sqrt{}$

Date 28/03/2018

Specialist Implications Officers

Not applicable

Wards Affected: Not applicable

For further information please contact the author of the report

Background Papers:

None

Annexes:

Annex 1 – Revised dates longer than six months